UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/043,357	01/09/2002	Jennifer Kellam	ARIBP064	6337
21912 VANDĒLT V	7590 07/05/2007		EXAMINER	
VAN PELT, YI & JAMES LLP 10050 N. FOOTHILL BLVD #200			. ONYEZIA, CHUKS N	
CUPERTINO, CA 95014			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3692	
		•	·	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•	•		07/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/043,357	KELLAM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chuks Onyezia Esq.	3692				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. wely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 M</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 May 2007</u> .					
,	,—					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the bed on a bed on by the bed on abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P					

Application/Control Number: 10/043,357 Page 2

Art Unit: 3692

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicants' amendment and arguments filed on May 07, 2007 have been fully considered, and discussed below. It is noted that applicant has amended the following claims: 1, 12, 13, and 22-30. Therefore, claims 1-30 are pending and currently considered for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. Applicant's amendments, see claims 22-30, filed 05/07/2007, with respect to a 101 rejection have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 101 rejections of claims 22-30 have been withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,357 Page 3

Art Unit: 3692

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. Claims 1-30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Blalock et al. U.S. Application No. 09/775,265.
- 4. As per claim 1, Blalock teaches a method of bidding to drive competition in an auction comprising:
 - a. Receiving a plurality of bids from a plurality of bidders (Blalock paragraph[0059], each carrier can submit its bids, lane by lane, through the web site interface)
 - b. distinguishing the bidders according to a subset of a parameter (Blalock paragraph[0144], there are one or more matrices which display the lowest rate-per-mile bids submitted by zone)
 - c. assigning at least one identifier to the bidders in each subset (Blalock paragraph[0145], it can be seen that state or regional codes are used to identify both the rows (origin) and the columns (destination) that comprise the matrix . . . a shipper can view the lowest mileage rate for a particular transportation zone as determined through bidding by participating carriers); the subset associated with the identifier being unknown to one or more of the bidders outside of the subset but known to one or more of the bidders within the subset (Blalock paragraph[0061], a shipper may choose not to allow carriers to view

Application/Control Number: 10/043,357

Art Unit: 3692

information associated with other carrier bids, or the shipper may choose to post bids "publicly" via the web site to create a dynamic bidding process) examiner interprets that if a shipper can choose to limit a carrier from viewing bid information then it is implied that other, non selected, carriers would be able to view this information; and

Page 4

- d. displaying a lowest bid with the identifier for each bidder (Blalock paragraph[0140], data columns provide specific information about the bids that have been submitted with respect to the various accessorials listed in the table . . . the "Min" is the minimum bid; Blalock paragraph[0144], there are one or more matrices which display the lowest rate-per-mile bids submitted by zone)
- 1. <u>Claims 12, 13, and 22</u> are rejected using logic similar to that used above to reject claim 1.
- 2. As per claims 2-11, 14-21, and 23-30, examiner maintains the rejections as detailed in previous office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,357 Page 5

Art Unit: 3692

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 05/07/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

4. Applicant argues in substance that:

Blalock does not teach an identifier being unknown to one or more of the bidders outside of the subset but known to one or more of the bidders within the subset.

In response to the above argument:

Blalock, in paragraph[0061], does teach the above limitation. examiner interprets that if a shipper can choose to limit a carrier from viewing bid information then it is implied that other, non selected, carriers would be able to view this information.

Art Unit: 3692

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuks Onyezia Esq. whose telephone number is 571-270-1372. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kramer James can be reached on 571-272-6783. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,357

Art Unit: 3692

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

C. Onyezia 06/14/2007

JAMES A. KRAMER
UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 7